

# Measurement of the $\eta$ Parameter in $\mu^+$ Decay

Roy R. Bossingham

Ph. D. Thesis

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

University of California

Berkeley, California 94720

April 1989

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Division of Nuclear Physics of the office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contracts DE-FG03-87ER40323 and DE-AC03-76SF00098.



# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Unpolarized Muon Decay Spectrum . . . . .	1
1.2 Spectrum Measurement Procedure . . . . .	3
1.3 Muon Beam Characteristics . . . . .	4
1.4 Studies with a Positron Beam . . . . .	5
1.5 Systematic Effects . . . . .	6
<b>2 The Muon Decay Spectrum</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Spectrum for General Interactions . . . . .	7
2.2 Physical Motivations . . . . .	12
2.2.1 Limitations of Positron-Inclusive Measurements . . . . .	12
2.2.2 Lorentz-Structure Physics . . . . .	13
2.2.3 Massive Mixed Neutrinos . . . . .	16
2.2.4 Light Scalar Neutrinos . . . . .	18
2.2.5 Familons . . . . .	19
2.2.6 Majorons . . . . .	20
2.2.7 Impact upon $\rho$ Measurements . . . . .	21
2.3 Unpolarized Spectrum . . . . .	21
2.4 First-Order Radiative Corrections . . . . .	22

2.5	Higher-Order Radiative Corrections . . . . .	25
2.6	Radiative Decay . . . . .	26
2.7	Effect of $\rho$ on the Spectrum . . . . .	27
<b>3</b>	<b>Previous Determinations of Eta</b>	<b>29</b>
3.1	Direct Measurements . . . . .	29
3.2	Indirect Measurements . . . . .	31
<b>4</b>	<b>Experimental Apparatus</b>	<b>34</b>
4.1	Spectrometer . . . . .	34
4.1.1	Magnetic Field . . . . .	35
4.1.2	Magnetic-Field Monitoring . . . . .	36
4.1.3	Particle Trajectories . . . . .	37
4.1.4	Acceptance Characteristics . . . . .	37
4.1.5	Target-Area Layout . . . . .	39
4.1.6	Collimators . . . . .	42
4.1.7	<i>A, B, C, D</i> Anti-counters . . . . .	44
4.1.8	Detector . . . . .	45
4.1.9	<i>M1, M2</i> . . . . .	46
4.2	Electronics . . . . .	47
4.2.1	<i>T1</i> Electronics . . . . .	47
4.2.2	Time-Correlation Electronics . . . . .	48
4.3	Data-Acquisition System . . . . .	49
<b>5</b>	<b>Distortions of the Spectrum</b>	<b>51</b>
5.1	Target-area Effects . . . . .	52
5.1.1	Multiple Scattering . . . . .	52
5.1.2	Continuous Energy Loss . . . . .	53
5.1.3	Bhabha Scattering . . . . .	54

5.1.4	External Bremsstrahlung . . . . .	56
5.1.5	In-Target Annihilation . . . . .	57
5.1.6	Internal Bremsstrahlung . . . . .	57
5.2	Momentum-Dependent Detection Efficiency . . . . .	59
5.2.1	Scattering Effects . . . . .	59
5.2.2	Annihilation . . . . .	60
5.2.3	Unintended Vetoes . . . . .	60
5.3	Effect of Suspension Cables . . . . .	61
5.4	Effect of the <i>K1</i> Collimator . . . . .	62
5.5	Effect of the <i>K2</i> Collimator . . . . .	62
5.6	Effect of the <i>K3</i> Collimator . . . . .	63
5.7	Effect of the <i>K4</i> Collimator . . . . .	64
5.8	Effect of the <i>K5</i> Collimator . . . . .	64
5.9	Effect of the <i>C1</i> , <i>C2</i> Veto Counters . . . . .	64
5.10	Effect of the Comus Back Plate . . . . .	66
<b>6</b>	<b>Data Analysis and Results</b>	<b>68</b>
6.1	Monte Carlo Calculations . . . . .	68
6.1.1	Incident Beam Studies . . . . .	69
6.1.2	Target Effects Study . . . . .	70
6.1.3	Internal Bremsstrahlung Studies . . . . .	73
6.1.4	Collimator Studies . . . . .	74
6.1.5	Upstream Support-Cable Studies . . . . .	76
6.1.6	Detection Efficiency Studies . . . . .	77
6.2	Applying Cuts . . . . .	77
6.3	Background Subtraction . . . . .	83
6.4	Magnetic-Field Corrections . . . . .	84
6.5	Scaler Corrections . . . . .	84

6.6	$\overline{T2} \cdot T3 \cdot T4$ Events . . . . .	86
6.7	Extracted Value of $\eta$ . . . . .	88
6.8	Systematic Error Estimate . . . . .	89
6.9	Conclusions . . . . .	90
<b>A</b>	<b>Muon-Polarization Effects</b>	<b>94</b>
A.1	Misaligned $\vec{B}$ at Target . . . . .	94
A.2	Misaligned Muon Spin . . . . .	97
A.3	Azimuthal Asymmetry . . . . .	98
<b>B</b>	<b>Field Gradient Compensation</b>	<b>100</b>
B.1	NMR Requirements . . . . .	100
B.2	Field-Derivatives Cancellation . . . . .	100
B.2.1	Canceling $\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial z}$ . . . . .	102
B.2.2	Canceling $\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y}$ . . . . .	103
B.3	Other Considerations . . . . .	103
B.4	Accuracy . . . . .	104
<b>C</b>	<b>Monte Carlo Physics — EGS</b>	<b>105</b>
C.1	Introduction to EGS . . . . .	105
C.2	Continuous Energy Loss . . . . .	106
C.3	Path-Length Restriction . . . . .	108
C.4	Magnetic Fields . . . . .	110
C.5	Bremsstrahlung . . . . .	110
C.6	Bhabha Scattering . . . . .	113
C.7	Annihilation . . . . .	114
C.8	Multiple Scattering . . . . .	114
C.9	Showers in Thick Material . . . . .	116
<b>D</b>	<b>Monte Carlo Physics — TRIM</b>	<b>117</b>

<b>E</b>	<b>Systematic Effects</b>	<b>119</b>
E.1	General Comments . . . . .	119
E.2	Error Estimates . . . . .	120
E.2.1	Muon Depth . . . . .	120
E.2.2	Back Plate . . . . .	120
E.2.3	Target Area . . . . .	120
E.2.4	Detector Inefficiency . . . . .	121
E.2.5	Muon Spin Direction . . . . .	122
E.2.6	Scattering from Upstream Cables . . . . .	122
E.2.7	$K^2$ . . . . .	123
E.2.8	$C1$ . . . . .	123
E.2.9	Momentum Calibration . . . . .	123
E.2.10	Beam Centering . . . . .	123
E.2.11	Muon Stops . . . . .	124
E.2.12	$K^3$ . . . . .	124
E.2.13	Spectrometer Line Shape . . . . .	124
E.2.14	$C2$ . . . . .	125
E.2.15	Azimuthal Asymmetry . . . . .	125
E.2.16	NMR Probe Cross-calibration . . . . .	125
	<b>Figures</b>	<b>126</b>

# Acknowledgements

Long gone is the time when a physics graduate student dug deep into his pocket, bought and built equipment to set up in a corner and emerged a couple of years later with his thesis in hand. Similar circumstances still exist in many other areas of graduate study (to which many cab drivers and restaurant workers can attest), but no longer in physics in the United States. Credit for this must be given to the taxpayers of this country who, through their representatives, have generously allocated support to the sciences for the last several decades; credit must also be given to future taxpayers, who will repay the fraction appearing as debt.

Yet, one is forced to observe that the bargain which science has struck with the government is essentially a Faustian one, for we have been allowed to pursue our Truth, in part, because of the hope by the funding sources that the work will further the purposes of the military-industrial complex. Even those who have no intention of working on overtly military projects advance its goals by instructing and interacting with those who will; by design, more information flows toward military research than away from it. Thus, my acknowledgement of the government-derived money which has kept me above the “poverty line” is given gratefully, but with the grimness of realizing that Faust knew better what bargain he had struck.

The number of remaining acknowledgements reflects upon the extent to which physics has become a collective undertaking, as well as upon the length of this particular project; I regret any omissions.

The following people have all participated in the planning or execution of the experiment



on which this thesis was based: J. A. Bistirlich, J. H. Brewer, K. M. Crowe, J. A. Jansen, R. Keitel, C. J. Martoff, J. P. Miller, L. Oddi, C. J. Oram, M. Salomon, U. Straumann, E. Yoo and W. A. Zajc; all deserve credit for their hard work. I will expand upon some specific contributions.

- Jim Bistirlich worked on this experiment throughout its development, including engineering, machining and installation. He has made many helpful comments on my work and has been supportive throughout.
- Jesse Brewer performed the earliest off-line analysis of the data. His program provided the nucleus for some of my later analysis code.
- Ken Crowe, as experiment spokesperson, has obtained funding and beam time for this experiment, guiding it through its development. His work on the hardware, in design and fruition, has been essential.
- Johan Jansen performed studies of experimental effects and designed several refinements to the hardware. He also wrote the original, experiment-specific code for data acquisition and on-line analysis.
- Jeff Martoff originally conceived the experiment and did the early design work on it. It would not have occurred without his creative imagination and effort.
- Chris Oram's knowledge of the M13 beam line at TRIUMF was of great value, and he was consistently helpful in his capacity as M13 beam-line coordinator.
- Martin Salomon worked on the early data analysis and made several helpful suggestions regarding the magnetic measurements problem for the experiment.
- Uli Straumann designed an improved spectrometer configuration and designed several counters for the highly-constrained target area.
- Bill Zajc has been a frequent source of good advice on the analysis of the experiment and a frequent source of encouragement that it be completed. He is also to be thanked

for having bequeathed his personal stock of thesis paper in support of this effort.

In addition, several other members of the research group have contributed to the experiment in various ways:

- Dean Chacon ran several early Monte Carlo studies of the spectrometer using the EGS code, writing the user code for these.
- Carl Clawson is to be thanked for his unique contribution to the philosophy of thesis writing, as well as the necessary hardware. I appreciate his patience in answering my questions on muons, detectors and computation.
- Jim Kurck and Keith Wong undertook some of the drudgery of tape copying and pruning.

I wish to thank several theorists for helpful discussions: Robert Shrock who discussed massive neutrinos; Alberto Sirlin who discussed radiative corrections; Mahiko Suzuki who explained an assortment of theoretical points; and Mary K. Gaillard for her information on Fierz transformations and other matters. Thanks are also due to J. F. Ziegler for information regarding the calculation of particle stopping distributions, as related to the TRIM85 computer code.

My grateful acknowledgements also go to my candidacy committee consisting of Professors K. M. Crowe, J. O. Rasmussen and H. A. Shugart. I appreciate their willingness to read and comment on this thesis.

Following preliminary tests at the Los Alamos National Laboratory, the experiment was conducted at the TRIUMF meson factory in Vancouver, Canada: thanks are due to both institutions for the beam time and resources provided. Of the TRIUMF staff, I especially want to thank Robert Openshaw for his help with the NMR and MWPC apparatus, and Heinz Biegenzien for his help with the mechanical preparation of the experiment.

Given the importance of software to modern physics experiments, non-proprietary contributions should be acknowledged when possible. For this experiment they were numerous, some of them essentially transparent to the user, as the best software is. Thus, this list is undoubtedly, though not intentionally, incomplete.

- Data acquisition and on-line analysis were done with a combination of TRIUMF's DA and Fermilab's MULTI. DA was written by, and the package maintained by, Tim Miles.
- Preliminary off-line analysis was done using MOLLI, which was written at TRIUMF by Anne Bennett and John Lloyd.
- The program IO, and its subroutines MAGTA and MAGTASS, has been useful for tape manipulation. The original code was written at TRIUMF by Anne Bennett and Renee Poutissou.
- The histogram/plotting package, HBOOK/HPLOT, produced the working graphics during the analysis, as well as many of the figures for this thesis. The packages were written by Howard Watkins of the European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN). Other routines from the CERN libraries have also been used extensively.
- DISPLAY, an interactive shell for HPLOT, has been used during the data analysis. It was written by B. Gabioud, H. L. Videau and M. A. Garnjost of the TPC Detector group at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory (LBL).
- The Unified Graphics System (UGS), written at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC) by Robert C. Beach, has been the principal graphics interface used—both with my own and with borrowed software.
- The EGS/PEGS code system, discussed elsewhere in this thesis, has been of great value in doing Monte Carlo studies. The excellent documentation has also provided a helpful review article on  $e^\pm, \gamma$  physics and Monte Carlo techniques. The early

version, EGS3, was written at SLAC by Richard L. Ford and Walter R. Nelson, while the updated version, EGS4, was written by Walter R. Nelson, Hideo Hirayama and David W. O. Rogers. Discussions of this code with Walter Nelson of SLAC and Alex Bielajew of the National Research Council of Canada (NRCC) were very valuable.

- Magnet studies were done with POISSON, PANDIRA and related programs, as provided by Mary Mendel and Helen Stokes of the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL).
- A program for tracking trajectories in a magnetic field, TRACK, was kindly provided by Don Lobb; he and D. E. Henin were the authors.
- Werner Koellner of LBL has provided documentation on, help with, and access to, a variety of software.

Much of the computation done for this experiment was on VAXen independent of those operated by the LBL Computing Division. The BEVAX cluster, operated by the LBL Nuclear Science Division, has provided CPU time and tape drive access for the data analysis and some of the Monte Carlos which were run. This has been managed by Mark Bronson, Chuck McParland and Russ Wright. The PHYSIX cluster, operated by the LBL Physics Division, provided CPU time and routinely made up-to-date software available. The advice and documentation provided by Edgar Whipple and John Waters, in addition to system management, have been invaluable. The JANUS VAX, operated by Ken Crowe and John Rasmussen at LBL has also provided valuable CPU and tape drive access. Dean Chacon's responsive and dedicated management is gratefully acknowledged.

On a more personal level, I must credit my wife, Carol Wickersham, with a great deal during my time as a graduate student—not least patience. Most, I thank her for the discussions which have helped to clarify the place that physics occupies in the general scheme of things, and for glimpses of aspects of the world that I would not have otherwise had.

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Division of Nuclear Physics of the office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contracts DE-FG03-87ER40323 and DE-AC03-76SF00098.

