

### <u>Triumf Weak Interaction Symmetry Test</u>

# The final TWIST measurement of $P_{\!\mu}\xi$

#### James Bueno, TRIUMF / University of British Columbia



• Muon decay: physics relevant to  $P_{\mu}\xi$ 

- Previous measurements and possibilities of new physics.
- Depolarisation in TWIST
   solenoid fringe field
   muon stopping target

James Bueno, WNPPC'07, 18 February 2007

1/11

## Standard Model and $P_{\mu}\xi$



### SM predicts $P_{\mu} = 1, \xi = 1$



$$\frac{d^{2}\Gamma}{dx \cdot d\cos\theta} = \left[\frac{m_{\mu}}{4\pi^{3}}W_{e\mu}^{4}G_{F}^{2}\sqrt{x^{2}-x_{0}^{2}}\left(F_{IS}(x)+P_{\mu}\cos\theta\cdot F_{AS}(x)\right)+RC\right]$$
$$F_{AS}(x) \sim \left(\frac{1}{3}\xi\sqrt{x^{2}-x_{0}^{2}}\left[1-x+\frac{2}{3}\delta\left(4x-3+\left(\sqrt{1-x_{0}^{2}}-1\right)\right)\right]$$

2/11

## Standard Model and $P_{\mu}\xi$



c.f. Anthony's talk

2/11

The differential decay rate contains the product  $\,P_{\!\mu}\xi\,$ 

### 3/11

### New physics

#### muon handedness

SM predicts LH muon decays to LH positron.

Probability of RH muon decay to a LH or RH positron is  $Q_{R}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{3}\xi - \frac{16}{9}\xi \delta \right]$ 

### left-right symmetric models

 $W_{L} = W_{1} \cos \zeta + W_{2} \sin \zeta$  $W_{R} = -W_{1} \sin \zeta + W_{2} \cos \zeta$ 



# Previous measurements of $P_{\!\mu}\xi$

Direct measurements:

Beltrami et al. [1987]

Jamieson et al. [2004] (TWIST)  $1.0027 \pm 0.0079$  (stat)  $\pm 0.0030$  (syst)

4/11

 $1.0003 \pm 0.0006$  (stat)  $\pm 0.0038$  (syst)

Indirect measurements:

Jodidio et al. & TWIST 0.9960 <  $P_{\mu}\xi$  < 1.0040 (90%)

2006/7 analysis: aim for total systematic uncertainty < 0.0010.

## **Depolarisation in TWIST**



5/11



6/11

also:3 sets taken in 2006 with deliberate mis-steering to validate simulation of  $P_{\mu}$ James Bueno, WNPPC'07, 18 February 2007

### 2004: uncertainty of 0.0031 in $P_{\mu}\xi$

B2 (mT)	$\bar{x}$ (cm)	$\bar{\theta}_x$ (mrad)	$\bar{y}$ (cm)	$\bar{\theta}_y$ (mrad)	$P_{\mu}^{ m sim}$
94.4 94.4	0.07 0.06	-5.9 -6.7	0.97 0.73	7.0 - 11.2	0.9929 0.9941
94.9 94.9	0.85 0.94	-1.1 -1.5	0.87 0.64	$-5.0 \\ -19.2$	0.9955 0.9922

beam angle changed between monitoring runs

7/11

### 2004: uncertainty of 0.0031 in $P_{\mu}\xi$

B2 (mT)	$\bar{x}$ (cm)	$\bar{\theta}_x$ (mrad)	$\bar{y}$ (cm)	$\bar{\theta}_y$ (mrad)	$P_{\mu}^{ m sim}$
94.4 94.4	0.07 0.06	-5.9 -6.7	0.97 0.73	7.0 -11.2	0.9929 0.9941
94.9 94.9	0.85 0.94	-1.1 -1.5	0.87 0.64	$-5.0 \\ -19.2$	0.9955 0.9922

beam angle changed between monitoring runs

7/11

#### For 2006/7:

- Monitor beam at beginning and end of every set.
- Monitor proton beamline and beam on production target.
- Entire sets with beam monitor 'in' to look for changes.

### 2004: uncertainty of 0.0031 in $P_{\mu}\xi$

B2 (mT)	$\bar{x}$ (cm)	$\bar{\theta}_x$ (mrad)	$\bar{y}$ (cm)	$\bar{\theta}_y$ (mrad)	$P_{\mu}^{ m sim}$
94.4 94.4	0.07 0.06	-5.9 -6.7	0.97 0.73	7.0 -11.2	0.9929 0.9941
94.9 94.9	0.85 0.94	-1.1 -1.5	0.87 0.64	$-5.0 \\ -19.2$	0.9955 0.9922

beam angle changed between monitoring runs

7/11

#### For 2006/7:

- Monitor beam at beginning and end of every set.
- Monitor proton beamline and beam on production target.
- Entire sets with beam monitor 'in' to look for changes.
- Efficiency of beam monitor closely monitored.
- Improved beam monitor to detector alignment and beam monitor calibration.

#### 8/11

### **Beam stability**



discovered that internal muon beam is sensitive to angle changes <2mrad position changes <2mm

### 8/11

### **Beam stability**



discovered that internal muon beam is sensitive to angle changes <2mrad position changes <2mm



James Bueno, WNPPC'07, 18 February 2007

## Depolarisation at stopping target

2004: uncertainty of 0.0012 in  $P_{\mu}\xi$  $P_{\mu}(t) = P_{\mu}(0) \cdot \exp(-at)$  (red)  $P_{\mu}(t) = P_{\mu}(0) \cdot \exp(-bt^{2})$  (blue)

MuSR needed



9/11

## Depolarisation at stopping target

2004: uncertainty of 0.0012 in  $P_{\mu}\xi$  $P_{\mu}(t) = P_{\mu}(0) \cdot \exp(-at)$  (red)  $P_{\mu}(t) = P_{\mu}(0) \cdot \exp(-bt^{2})$  (blue)

9/11



## Depolarisation at stopping target

2004: uncertainty of 0.0012 in  $P_{\mu}\xi$  $P_{\mu}(t) = P_{\mu}(0) \cdot \exp(-at)$  (red)  $P_{\mu}(t) = P_{\mu}(0) \cdot \exp(-bt^{2})$  (blue)

9/11



10/11

# Summary for $P_{\mu}\xi$

- Aim to improve uncertainty on pre-TWIST measurement of  $P_{\mu}\xi$  by an order of magnitude.
- Beam steered on axis to reduce depolarisation.
- Many improvements in beam monitor.
- Internal muon beam information gives stability information.
- MuSR experiment reduces uncertainty on stopping target depolarisation.
- Expect final results in 2008/9.

### Questions and comments

#### **TRIUMF**

Ryan Bayes\*† Yuri Davydov Wayne Faszer Makoto Fujiwara David Gill Alex Grossheim Peter Gumplinger Anthony Hillairet\*† Robert Henderson Jingliang Hu

> <u>Regina</u> Ted Mathie Roman Tacik

Kurchatov Institute Vladimir Selivanov Dick Mischke Mina Nozar Konstantin Olchanski Art Olin† Robert Openshaw Jean-Michel Poutissou Renée Poutissou Grant Sheffer Bill Shin‡‡

**Glen Marshall** 

<u>Montréal</u> Pierre Depommier

#### <u>Valparaiso</u> Don Koetke Shirvel Stanislaus

\* graduate student, \*\* graduated† also UVic, ‡‡ also Saskatchewan

#### <u>Alberta</u>

Andrei Gaponenko\*\* Peter Kitching Robert MacDonald\* Maher Quraan

**British Columbia** James Bueno\* Mike Hasinoff Blair Jamieson\*\*

> <u>Texas A&M</u> Carl Gagliardi Jim Musser\*\* Bob Tribble

TWIST is supported in part by the Natural Sciences and
Engineering Research Council and the National Research
Council of Canada, the Russian
Ministry of Science, and the
U.S. Department of Energy.

11/11

Computing resources for the analysis are provided by Westgrid.

### Fitting the average beam

$$\binom{x}{y} = (A - A_{\rho} \cdot z') \binom{\sin f(z)}{\cos f(z)} + \binom{\Delta_x}{\Delta_y}$$

$$f(z) = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda - \lambda_{\rho} \cdot z'} \cdot z' + \phi$$
  
= z+position of PC1,2 (-59.59 cm)

z'

Helix works!



backup slide

#### backup slide

### Example

#### (from the 2006 research proposal)



#### backup slide

### **Depolarisation theory**

• No muonium as B field aligns conduction electron spins to shield formation.

Relaxation could occur due to

i) conduction electrons [Korringa, most likely]ii) nuclear moments of AI or Agiii) paramagnetic impurities

# Exponential fits to AI and Ag backup slide

aluminium	λ (10 <sup>-6</sup> ns <sup>-1</sup> )
Jodidio	$0.43 \pm 0.34$
2004 TWIST best fit	1.36 ± 0.12
Jess's fit	$1.64 \pm 0.17$
James's preliminary fit	$1.74 \pm 0.20$
silver	λ (10 <sup>-6</sup> ns <sup>-1</sup> )
Dick's fit	$1.4 \pm 0.2$
James's fit	$1.41 \pm 0.26$