Measuring muon decay with TWIST

Glen Marshall, for the *TWIST* Collaboration CIPANP06, Puerto Rico



The \mathcal{T} RIUMF \mathcal{W} eak \mathcal{I} nteraction Symmetry \mathcal{T} est

- Tests Standard Model predictions for muon decay.
- Uses highly polarized µ⁺ beam.
- Stops μ⁺ in a very symmetric detector.
- Tracks e⁺ through uniform, well-known field.
- Extracts decay parameters by comparison to detailed and verified simulation.



Michel parameter description

Δ Muon decay (Michel) parameters ρ , η , $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}\xi$, δ

muon differential decay rate vs. energy and angle:

$$egin{array}{rcl} rac{d^2\Gamma}{dx\;d\cos heta}&=&rac{1}{4}m_\mu W^4_{\mu e}G^2_F\sqrt{x^2-x_0^2}\,\cdot\ &\{\mathcal{F}_{IS}(x,oldsymbol{
ho},oldsymbol{\eta})+\mathcal{P}_\mu\cos heta\cdot\mathcal{F}_{AS}(x,oldsymbol{\xi},oldsymbol{\delta})\}+R.C. \end{array}$$



where

Louis Michel

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{IS}(x,oldsymbol{
ho},oldsymbol{\eta}) &= x(1-x) + rac{2}{9}
ho(4x^2 - 3x - x_0^2) + oldsymbol{\eta} x_0(1-x) \ \mathcal{F}_{AS}(x,oldsymbol{\xi},oldsymbol{\delta}) &= rac{1}{3} \sqrt{x^2 - x_0^2} \left[oldsymbol{\xi} \left\{ 1 - x
ight\} + rac{2}{3} oldsymbol{\xi} \delta \left\{ 4x - 3 + \left(\sqrt{1 - x_0^2} - 1
ight)
ight\}
ight] \end{aligned}$$

• and
$$W_{\mu e} = rac{m_{\mu}^2 + m_e^2}{2m_{\mu}}, \ x = rac{E_e}{W_{\mu e}}, \ x_0 = rac{m_e}{W_{\mu e}}.$$

CIPANP06, June 1, 2006

Pre-*TWIST* **decay parameters**

□ From the Review of Particle Physics (SM values in parentheses) :

•	ρ = 0.7518 ± 0.0026 (Derenzo, 1969)	(0.75)
•	η = -0.007 ± 0.013 (Burkard et al., 1985)	(0.00)
•	δ = 0.7486 ± 0.0026 ± 0.0028 (Balke <i>et al.</i> , 1988)	(0.75)
	$P_{\mu}\xi = 1.0027 \pm 0.0079 \pm 0.0030$ (Beltrami <i>et al.</i> , 1987)	(1.00)
•	· Ρμ(ξδ/ρ) > 0.99682 (Jodidio <i>et al.</i> , 1986)	(1.00)

The goal of *TWIST* is to find any new physics which may become apparent by improving the precision of each of ρ , δ , and $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}\xi$ by at least one order of magnitude compared to prior experimental results.

Michel shape, graphically



and an ad-hoc exponentiation.

Arbuzov et al., Phys. Rev. D66 (2002) 93003. Arbuzov et al., Phys. Rev. D65 (2002) 113006.

Michel parameters and coupling constants

□ Fetscher and Gerber coupling constants (see PDG):

$$M \;\; = \;\; rac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma=S,V,T \ arepsilon,\mu=R,L}} g_{arepsilon\mu}^\gamma ig\langle ar{e}_arepsilon \, |\Gamma^\gamma| \, (
u_e)_n
angle \, \langle (ar{
u}_\mu)_m \, |\Gamma_\gamma| \, \mu_\mu
angle$$

$$\begin{split} \rho &= \frac{3}{4} - \frac{3}{4} [|g_{RL}^{V}|^{2} + |g_{LR}^{V}|^{2} + 2 |g_{RL}^{T}|^{2} + 2 |g_{LR}^{T}|^{2} \\ &+ \mathbb{R}e \left(g_{RL}^{S} g_{RL}^{T*} + g_{LR}^{S} g_{LR}^{T*} \right)] \\ \eta &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{R}e [g_{RR}^{V} g_{LL}^{S*} + g_{LL}^{V} g_{RR}^{S*} + g_{RL}^{V} (g_{LR}^{S*} + 6g_{LR}^{T*}) + g_{LR}^{V} (g_{RL}^{S*} + 6g_{RL}^{T*})] \\ \xi &= 1 - \frac{1}{2} |g_{LR}^{S}|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} |g_{RR}^{S}|^{2} - 4 |g_{RL}^{V}|^{2} + 2 |g_{LR}^{V}|^{2} - 2 |g_{RR}^{V}|^{2} \\ &+ 2 |g_{LR}^{T}|^{2} - 8 |g_{RL}^{T}|^{2} + 4 \mathbb{R}e (g_{LR}^{S} g_{LR}^{T*} - g_{RL}^{S} g_{RL}^{T*}) \\ \xi \delta &= \frac{3}{4} - \frac{3}{8} |g_{RR}^{S}|^{2} - \frac{3}{8} |g_{LR}^{S}|^{2} - \frac{3}{2} |g_{RR}^{V}|^{2} - \frac{3}{4} |g_{RL}^{V}|^{2} - \frac{3}{4} |g_{LR}^{V}|^{2} \\ &- \frac{3}{2} |g_{RL}^{T}|^{2} - 3 |g_{LR}^{T}|^{2} + \frac{3}{4} \mathbb{R}e (g_{LR}^{S} g_{LR}^{T*} - g_{RL}^{S} g_{RL}^{T*}) \end{split}$$

CIPANP06, June 1, 2006

Coupling constants

Coupling constants $g_{\epsilon\mu}^{\gamma}$ can be related to handedness, *e.g.*, total muon right-handed coupling:

$$egin{aligned} Q^{\mu}_{R} &\equiv & Q_{RR} + Q_{LR} \ &= & rac{1}{4} |oldsymbol{g}^{S}_{LR}|^2 + rac{1}{4} |oldsymbol{g}^{S}_{RR}|^2 + |oldsymbol{g}^{V}_{LR}|^2 + |oldsymbol{g}^{V}_{RR}|^2 + 3|oldsymbol{g}^{T}_{LR}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Global analysis of μ decay (Gagliardi *et al.*, PRD **72** 2005)

no existing similar analysis for other weak decays.

$$\begin{split} |g_{RR}^{S}| &< 0.066(0.067) & |g_{RR}^{V}| < 0.033(0.034) & |g_{RR}^{T}| \equiv 0 \\ |g_{LR}^{S}| &< 0.125(0.088) & |g_{LR}^{V}| < 0.060(0.036) & |g_{LR}^{T}| < 0.036(0.025) \\ |g_{RL}^{S}| &< 0.424(0.417) & |g_{RL}^{V}| < 0.110(0.104) & |g_{RL}^{T}| < 0.122(0.104) \\ |g_{LL}^{S}| &< 0.550(0.550) & |g_{LL}^{V}| > 0.960(0.960) & |g_{LL}^{T}| \equiv 0 \end{split}$$

□ Neutrino mass implications at 10⁻⁷-10⁻⁴ for LR/RL:

J. Kile (CIPANP06), Erwin *et al.* (hep-ph/0602240)

Fitting the data distributions

- Michel distribution is linear in ρ, η, $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}\xi$, and $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}\xi\delta$, so a fit to first order expansion is exact.
- Fit data to simulated (MC) base distribution with hidden assumed parameters,

 $λ_{MC} = (ρ,η, \mathcal{P}_{μ} \xi_{|\mathcal{P}_{μ} \xi \delta}, \mathcal{P}_{μ} \xi \delta)$ plus MC-generated distributions from analytic derivatives, times fitting parameters (Δλ) representing deviations from base MC.



(graphic thanks to Blair Jamieson)

Evaluation of Systematic Uncertainties

TWIST relies on a fit to simulation:

- Simulation must be verified.
- Reconstruction systematics eliminated if simulation is perfect.

General method:

- exaggerate a condition (in data or MC) which may cause error.
- measure effect by fitting, using correlated sets where practical.
- scale results according to variance in a data set.
- Linearity? Double counting?

- •Positron interactions: •Energy smearing •Multiple scattering •Hard interactions •Material in detector •Material outside
- •Chamber response: •DC and PC efficiencies •Dead zone
 - Long drift times
 HV variations
 Temperature, pressure
 Chamber foil bulges
 Crosstalk
 - •Variation of t₀

- •Momentum calibration: •End point fits •Field reproduction
- •Muon beam stability: •Stopping location •Beam intensity •Magnet stability
- •Spectrometer alignment: •Translations •Rotations •Longitudinal •Field to detector axis

Fits to data distributions





Above: normalized residuals of fit, and fiducial region used for fit: p < 50 MeV/c, 0.50 < $|\cos \theta| < 0.84$, $|p_z| > 13.7$ MeV/c, $p_T < 38.5$ MeV/c.

Left: comparison of data to fit (MC) vs. momentum, also showing (MC reconstructed)/(MC thrown) comparisons and normalized residuals.

Fits to data distributions (cont.)



Angular distributions for restricted momentum ranges. Dashed lines show fiducial region of two-dimensional fit.



Dependence of asymmetry on momentum, its two contributions, and comparison of data and fit (MC)distributions.

Summary of results: ρ and δ

$\Box~\rho~=~0.75080\pm0.00044(stat)\pm0.00093(syst)\pm0.00023(\eta)$

- 2.5 times better precision than PDG value.
- Uncertainty scaled for χ^2 /dof = 7.5/4 (CL=0.11) for different data sets.
- J.R. Musser et al., PRL 94, 101805 (2005), hep-ex/0409063.

\delta = 0.74964 ± 0.00066(stat) ± 0.00112(syst)

- 2.9 times better precision than PDG value.
- A. Gaponeko *et al.*, PRD **71**, 071101(R) (2005), hep-ex/0410045.

□ Using the above values of ρ and δ , with $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}(\xi \delta / \rho) > 0.99682$ (PDG) and $Q_{R}^{\mu} \geq 0$, we get $0.9960 < \mathcal{P}_{\mu}\xi \leq \xi < 1.0040$ (90% c.l.)

• improves upon $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}\xi = 1.0027 \pm 0.0079 \pm 0.0030$.

Systematic uncertainties: ρ and δ

Systematic uncertainties	<mark>ρ</mark> (×10 ⁴)		δ (×10 ⁴)	
Oysternatic uncertainties	published	current	published	current
Chamber response (ave)	5.1	0.1	5.6	1.5
Stopping target thickness	4.9		3.7	-
Positron interactions	4.6	3.6	5.5	6.5
Spectrometer alignment	2.2	0.4	6.1	
Momentum calibration (ave)	2.0	1.1	2.9	2.2
Theoretical radiative correction	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0
Muon beam stability (ave)	0.4	0.5	1.0	0.9
Track selection algorithm	1.1	6-5		
Asymmetric efficiencies			0.4	0.1
Total in quadrature	9.3	4.3	11.2	7.2

New data and analysis: thesis of R.P. MacDonald, in preparation.

Summary of results: $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}\xi$

$\Box \mathcal{P}_{\mu}\xi = 1.0003 \pm 0.0006(stat) \pm 0.0038(syst)$

- 2.2 times better precision than PDG value (Beltrami et al.).
- still not as precise as TWIST indirect result from ρ and δ .
- B. Jamieson *et al.*, recently submitted to PRD, hep-ex/0605100.

Dominated by systematic uncertainty from spectrometer fringe field depolarization:

- prospects for improvement are excellent.
- data was taken in 2004; new data with improved muon beam will be taken in 2006-07.

Systematic uncertainties: $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}\xi$

Systematic uncertainties	$\mathcal{P}_{\mu}\xi$ (× 10 ³)
Depolarization in fringe field (ave)	3.4
Depolarization in muon stopping material (ave)	1.2
Chamber response (ave)	1.0
Spectrometer alignment	0.3
Positron interactions (ave)	0.3
Depolarization in muon production target	0.2
Momentum calibration	0.2
Upstream-downstream efficiency	0.2
Background muon contamination (ave)	0.2
Beam intensity (ave)	0.2
Michel η parameter	0.1
Theoretical radiative correction	0.1
Total in quadrature	3.8

Fringe field systematic improvement



The TECs (time expansion chambers) are transverse drift chambers operating at 0.08 bar, separated from beam vacuum by 6 μ m Mylar windows. Two modules measure x and y.

Left-right symmetric models

Weak eigenstates in terms of mass eigenstates and mixing angle:

$$W_L = W_1 \cos \zeta + W_2 \sin \zeta, \quad W_R = e^{i\omega} (-W_1 \sin \zeta + W_2 \cos \zeta)$$

Assume possible differences in left and right couplings and CKM character.

Use notation: $t = \frac{g_R^2 m_1^2}{g_L^2 m_2^2},$ $t_\theta = t \frac{|V_{ud}^R|}{|V_{ud}^L|},$ $\zeta_g = \frac{g_R^2}{g_L^2}$

Then, for muon decay, the Michel parameters are modified:

$$ho = rac{3}{4}(1-2\zeta_g^2), \qquad {m\xi} = 1-2(t^2+\zeta_g^2),$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mu} = 1 - 2t_{ heta}^2 - 2\zeta_g^2 - 4t_{ heta}\zeta_g^2\cos(lpha + \omega)$$

- "manifest" LRS assumes $g_R = g_L$, $V^R = V^L$, $\omega = 0$ (no CP violation).
- "pseudo-manifest" LRS allows CP violation, but $V^{R} = (V^{L})^{*}$ and $g_{R} = g_{L}$.
- RS "non-manifest" or generalized LRS makes no such assumptions.

Most experiments must make assumptions about LRS models!

CIPANP06, June 1, 2006

Limits on LRS parameters: PDG04

Observable	m₂ (GeV/c ₂)	ζ	+	_
m(K _L – K _S)	>1600		reach	(P)MLRS
Direct W _L	>720-650 (D0)		cloar cianal	(P)MLRS
searches	>652 (CDF)		clear signal	decay model
CKM		~10 -3	concitivity	(P)MLRS
unitarity		<10°	Sensitivity	heavy v _R
^R dooov	> 210	-0.040	both	(P)MLRS
puecay	>310	<0.040	parameters	light v _R
μ decay	>406	<0.033	model	light
(TWIST)	(>420)	(<0.030)	independence	iigiit v _R

Muon decay LRS limits



Restricted ("manifest") LRS model

General LRS model

Exclusion (90% cl) plots for left-right symmetric model mixing angle and right partner boson W₂ mass m₂

Summary

TWIST has produced its first direct measurement of $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}\xi$, to add to previous results for ρ and δ.

- Analysis underway for second measurements for ρ and δ, representing further improvements by ~ 2.
- Reduction of depolarization systematics for $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}\xi$ seems achievable, but it is not yet known by how much.
- □ In 2006-2008, *TWIST* will produce its final results:
 - goal remains the reduction of uncertainty by an order of magnitude compared to previous muon decay parameter experiments.

TWIST Participants

TRIUME Ryan Bayes *† Yuri Davydov Jaap Doornbos Wavne Faszer Makoto Fujiwara **David Gill Peter Gumplinger** Anthony Hillairet *† **Robert Henderson Jingliang Hu** John A. Macdonald § **Glen Marshall Dick Mischke** Mina Nozar Konstantin Olchanski Art Olin † **Robert Openshaw Tracy Porcelli** ± Jean-Michel Poutissou **Renée Poutissou Grant Sheffer** Bill Shin ^{‡‡}

Alberta Andrei Gaponenko ** Peter Kitching Robert MacDonald * Maher Quraan† Nate Rodning § John Schaapman Glen Stinson

British Columbia James Bueno * Mike Hasinoff Blair Jamieson **

Montréal Pierre Depommier

Regina Ted Mathie Roman Tacik Kurchatov Institute Vladimir Selivanov Vladimir Torokhov

Texas A&M Carl Gagliardi Jim Musser ** Bob Tribble Maxim Vasiliev

Valparaiso

Don Koetke Paul Nord Shirvel Stanislaus

* Graduate student
** Graduated
† also U Vic
‡ also Manitoba
‡‡ also Saskatchewan
§ deceased

Supported under grants from NSERC (Canada) and DOE (USA). Computing facilities of WestGrid are gratefully acknowledged.